

Serial No.: 10/652,141
Attorney Docket No.: HURD-P01

REMARKS

In Paragraph 3 of the Office Action mailed 26 March 2007, the Examiner noted that Claim 26 "would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims." Claim 26 depends directly upon Claim 12. The Applicant has amended Claim 12 with the limitations of allowable Claim 26. The Applicant has also cancelled Claims 10-11, 13-26, and 33. Applicant believes that Claims 12 and 27-32, which directly or indirectly depend on Claim 12, are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 22 MAY 2007

By: 

Jeffrey Schox

Reg. No. 42,445

Attorney for the Applicant

Schox, PLC

209 North Main Street, #200

Ann Arbor, MI USA 48104

p: 734.355.0675

e: Jeff@SchoxPLC.com